IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

vs.

Criminal Action 2:22-cr-052
JUDGE MICHAEL H. WATSON

MICHAEL D. VALENTINE

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Defendant Michael D. Valentine previously pleaded not quilty to an Indictment charging him with one count of conspiracy to distribute and to possess with intent to distribute 400 grams or more of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of fentanyl in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 846 (Count 1), one count of distribution of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of fentanyl in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 841 (Count 2), two counts of distribution of 40 grams or more of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of fentanyl in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 841 (Counts 3 and 4), and one count of possession with intent to distribute 400 grams or more of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of fentanyl in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 841 (Count 5). Indictment, ECF No. 16. Defendant expressed his intent to plead guilty to those charges, although the parties did not enter into a plea agreement. See Notice of Intent to Plead Guilty without Benefit of Plea Agreement, ECF No. 27. On July 13, 2022, defendant, assisted by his counsel, participated in a change of plea proceeding.

After being advised of his right to appear personally and with his counsel and after consulting with his counsel, defendant consented to appear by videoconference.

Defendant consented, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §636(b)(3), to enter a guilty plea before a Magistrate Judge. See United States v. Cukaj, 25

Fed.Appx. 290, 291(6^{th} Cir. 2001) (Magistrate Judge may accept a guilty plea with the express consent of the defendant and where no objection to the report and recommendation is filed).

During the plea proceeding, the undersigned observed the appearance and responsiveness of defendant in answering questions. Based on that observation, the undersigned is satisfied that, at the time he entered his guilty plea, defendant was in full possession of his faculties, was not suffering from any apparent physical or mental illness and was not under the influence of narcotics, other drugs, or alcohol.

Prior to accepting defendant's plea, the undersigned addressed defendant personally and in open court and determined his competence to plead. Based on the observations of the undersigned, defendant understands the nature and meaning of the charges in the *Indictment* and the consequences of his plea of guilty to those charges.

Defendant was also addressed personally and in open court and advised of each of the rights referred to in Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure.

Having engaged in the colloquy required by Rule 11, the Court concludes that defendant's plea is voluntary. Defendant was advised that all sentencing terms will be determined by the District Judge. Defendant was further advised that, if the sentence imposed is more severe than the sentence that defendant expected, defendant may nevertheless not withdraw his guilty plea.

Defendant confirmed the accuracy of the statement of facts supporting the charges, *Notice of Agreed Statement of Facts*, ECF No. 33. He confirmed that he is pleading guilty to Counts 1 through 5 of the *Indictment* because he is in fact guilty of those offenses. The Court concludes that there is a factual basis for the plea.

The Court concludes that defendant's plea of guilty to Counts 1 through 5 of the *Indictment* is knowingly and voluntarily made with understanding of the nature and meaning of the charges and of the consequences of the plea.

It is therefore **RECOMMENDED** that defendant's guilty plea to Counts 1 through 5 of the *Indictment* be accepted.

In accordance with S.D. Ohio Crim. R. 32.1, and as expressly agreed to by defendant through counsel, a written presentence investigation report will be prepared by the United States Probation Office. Defendant will be asked to provide information; defendant's attorney may be present. Objections to the presentence report must be made in accordance with the rules of this Court.

If any party seeks review by the District Judge of this Report and Recommendation, that party may, within fourteen (14) days, file and serve on all parties objections to the Report and Recommendation, specifically designating this Report and Recommendation, and the part thereof in question, as well as the basis for objection thereto. 28 U.S.C. §636(b)(1); F.R. Civ. P. 72(b). Response to objections must be filed within fourteen (14) days after being served with a copy thereof. F.R. Civ. P. 72(b).

The parties are specifically advised that failure to object to the Report and Recommendation will result in a waiver or forfeiture of the right to de novo review by the District Judge and of the right to appeal the decision of the District Court adopting the Report and Recommendation. See United States v. Wandahsega, 924 F.3d 868, 878 (6th Cir. 2019); Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140 (1985).

July 13, 2022 Date s/ Norah McCann King
Norah McCann King
United States Magistrate Judge